Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/870,619	KATAYAMA, GOICHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaime W Corrigan	3748
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Jaime W Corrigan.	(3)	
(2) Rabi Narula.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	<u>:</u>]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12,19,30 and 48</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura (PN 5,797,363).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Attorney agreements</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	** **********************************	IOMAC DENIGAL
	SUPERVISO	IOMAS DENION DRY PATENT EXAMINER DLOGY CENTER 3700
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's sign	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)